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Abstract

According to many researches, the third world youth crave to go to developed countries just merely to get sustainable economic development. To accomplish their wish they use every possible way like cross border marriages (CBM’S) is one of them. The basic and main purpose of CBM’S is financial sustainability neither culture nor religion or others. The findings shows that most Pakistanis leave Pakistan only for economic stability, 10 per cent for better education, 10 per cent for improving living standard, while 16.6 per cent for economic (These all are simply economic reasons to pay court to women on inter-racial grounds) and education reasons while 3.4% leave for political or criminal issue. Due to these thoughts these people flipside to their native areas with only economic firmness rather social attitudes, moral attitudes behaviors, norms, myths and religion. The study shows that men do CBM’S to get firmness even they have families in their native areas. Second family formation is the easy way to get their desires, i.e. legal cover and residence. After satisfying their needs they come back to their native areas, even they leave behind their offspring because they give priority to their inhabitant families. In this situation foreigner wife or offspring face problems economically, socially and mentally. This article aims to describe that economic reasons are the basic phenomena of CBM’S for the third world countries’ people who prefer to go to developed countries and to live without facing legal issues.
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Cross Border Marriages (CBM’S) have become an important and an under studies issue in immigration developed countries, particularly from third biosphere states to first biosphere states. It is also a new topic for the researchers of economics and social scientists. Gradually CBM’S have become an important socio-economic phenomenon. In this paper researchers aimed to understand CBM’S from the perspective of the persons concerned by investigating their performance, strategies, and depiction of relatives, gender, traditions and the consequential divergence. According to Morokvasic (1983) women do CBM’S for economic stability, financial and future security while according to Phizacklea (1983), Pessar & Mahler (2001), Danneker (2005), Palriwala (2005), Suzuki (2005), Thai (2008) said that women do CBM’S to look after their families and to overcome the scarcity and personal dissatisfaction. According to Yang & Lu (2010) CBM’S are the product of the lower – class – men who suffer the disadvantaged position in the domestic marriage market, thus, utilizing globalizing capital to get better their marriageability.

The main phenomena of CBM’S for Pakistanis is to get economic sustainability and luxuries stability, get rid of poverty and to provide money to their innate families to improve their standard of living. Before 1970’s CBM’S was not famous in Pakistan as Lu & Yang (2010) pointed out that in Asia due to CBM’S late marriages are occurring and its result are biased sex ratio, sexual harassment, infertility and divorce rate.

Literature Review

According to L. Melody (2007) the meaning of CBM’S is terrestrial, national, ethnic, class, gender and racial borders created in the hosting societies. These borders are mostly
mediated by the states as well as other social actors in regulating to discriminate the “WE” and the “OTHERS”. Rendering to Tseng (2010) determined that through CBM’S females are moved from third world countries to first world countries for economic stability. According to Wang & Chang (2002) very little research has been done on CBM’S. Hugo (2005a) implies that the history of CBM’S started from the arrangement of European’s in Australia. At that time the sex ratio between genders was unequal. Now this phenomenon is increasing day by day. According to Wang & Chang (2002), Jones & Shen (2007) and Yang & Chia (2010) CBM’S are quite common between South-East Asia and East Asia since last ten years. While according to Buijs (1996), Asis (2001), Nguyen & Hugo (2005), Belanger & Pendakis (2009) and Tseng (2010) CBM’S are fairly common from under-develop nations to developed nations. After the event of 9/11 CBM’S grew to alarming point, especially significant numbers of CBM’S from Pakistan to other developed countries are quite alarming because the main focus of it is to get financial stability, neither cross culture nor kinship obligations. According to Stoler (2003), Belanger & Khuat (2002), Constable (2003), Phan (2005), Belanger (2007), Ghosh (2009), Stoler (1991), Belanger & Khuat (2002), Constable(2003), Phan (2005), Belanger (2007) and Ghosh (2009) CBM’S is also making the disparity in different economic factors like per capita income and economic growth. Socially it makes dissimilar new concepts, attitudes, cultures, norms, gender sex ratio, marriageable age between the crowded and abode country society. It means that CBM’S is becoming a factor for changing the world’s social structure. According to Zhao (2003) CBM’S also creates world labor market. Shih (1998) and Li (2001) noticed that CBM’S are the burning questions in the world because due to it globally constructed values have often changed.

circumstances at homes as well as communities. East Asian countries that see CBM’S as a solution to low fertility rate and shortage of wives and reproductive labor. Toyota (2008) argues that people get CBM’S just only to maintain social, political and economic stability. The demand of Asian unmarried and young men is too much in the first world countries regarding CBM’S. Another reason of CBM’S is unemployment within the country because a man is usually anticipated to have attained some measures of economic steadiness before he marries (G. Shahnaz, 2010). According to Constable (2005) CBM’B in Asia places the changing social positing before and after CBM’S at the center in the migratory process. She proposed the concept “Paradoxical hyper gamy” that migrants may find that their economic position and opportunities have improved after migration to rich country while, their social positions in the native society and in the husbands kin groups are lower than the ones to migration in their host society. Young Pakistani gender doing CBM’S as a shortcut to exit in developed countries. Frustrated and instable economic, political and limited opportunities provoke the youth for this action.

Methodology

Qualitative and quantitative methods were employed for this study. 30 respondent taken under consideration in this research with review of global literature. Interviews were conducted for data collection at the respondent’s home. Researcher collected data from December 2011 to 2015 residing in Karachi and Islamabad. Purposive sampling method was used for data collection. Researcher chooses this area of research because large number of marriage migrant had left Pakistan over the past three decade. According to Guthrie (2010) almost social scientists follow the scientific research method and apply quantitative methodology. The purpose of the quantitative interview was to know about the economic position of the respondents and their families before CBM’S. Interviews are the fundamental starting place of many facts because interviews are a productive way to gather remarkable information from the respondents. Open-ended and close-ended format of questionnaire is used. In this way it is easy to acquire quantitative facts from the assorted choice options. Through this method researcher gets an obvious picture about the CBM’S and this gave respondents the opportunity to state their opinions without being restricted by limited multiple choice options and explain their answers properly and detailed.

Key Findings

The study was conducted to find out the main reason, why Pakistani youth as well as adults want to go to developed countries. Findings show that the main reason for leaving their own country is, improving economic stability in their lives, poverty and unemployment. Secondly the reason is to improve education, among many other reasons improving family status and family honor in the community and society. It is supposed
that men satisfy their sexual desires and successfully prevent the issue of being alone. In Pakistan Joint family system is quite common as well as nuclear families are also established, in both systems native families support their bread earners to get better job opportunity by all means because they expect that they will give economic stability in future establishment. Due to this reason their family especially wives suffer, whereas, other family members have usual lives, even their husbands have advantage of polygamy with the foreign women and gets a legal cover to their stay in a foreign country.

60% respondents were leaving abroad for economic stability. 10% go for better education. 10% go for improving standard of living, 16.6% go for economic and education while 3.4% go for political asylum or escape from cases being registered criminal issue and cases (Table 1). According to the International Migration Organization, in 2009, the number of international migrants under the family meeting system specially CBM’S accounted for around 35% of the total number of international migrants around the world. It means CBM’S provide a great opportunity to enhance the economic remuneration and geographical mobility. It changes the economics rather social myths and norms because the people have the desired to leave their norms, myths, customs, traditional values, patriarchal dominancy and terrorism etc.

46% CBM’S happen just for economic stability. 8% CBM’S for sexual satisfaction. 3.3% CBM’S for second family formation & residence while 23.3% to avoid loneliness in foreign country (Table 2). Results clearly show that economical need is a main issue of CBM’S. Asian youth clearly understand that for International migrant CBM’S is an actual protected mode of migrating overseas. It shows that socially it makes dissimilar new concepts, attitudes, cultures, norms, gender sex ratio, marriageable age between the crowd and abode country society. It means that CBM’S is becoming a factor for changing the world social structure.

53.3% males get financial stability, 16.7 get level of satisfaction, 20% were far from their loving native families while only 10% fulfilled all of their desires (Table 3). It means through CBM’S respondents fulfill their all anticipations, intentions and desired.

It is important that 93.33 % people don’t want to come back to their innate families because they suppose that if they come back then they will become economically unstable and also they become habitual of luxuries life which is not available at their inborn countries. Only 6.7% want to come back to their country (Table 4).

Education qualification of the men was varying. Study shows that 13.3 % were matriculate. 20 % were Intermediate and 6.7% were graduate, while 10% were post graduate, 26.7 had technical education, whereas 23.3% were having any professional degree (Table 5). Results shows that all the people who want to go to abroad were educated.
Due to CBM’S 53.3 % respondents native wife suffered, 40 % foreigner wife suffered while only 6.7 % man suffered by themselves (Table 6). According to Constable (2005) CBM’B in Asia places the shifting social situation afore and later CBM’S at the midpoint of the migratory development. Women in Asia usually are strictly socially, religiously, spiritually and economically prohibited to entertain her without their husbands so they are mentally and physically disturb. She also propose the concept of “PARADOXICAL HYPER GAMY” that asylum seeker may find that their economic position and opportunities have upgraded after CBM’B to wealthier state while their social places in the receiving society and in the husbands kin groups are lower than the ones to migration in their sending society.

Gender was not considered by the researcher during research, randomly selected 80% respondents were male. The researcher was most interested in the person irrespective of gender, who could explain either one or more aspects of CBM’S. All the male and female respondents had his/her own mentality regarding their myths, norms, customs, religion, ethnicity, socially constructed values and surrounded environment but one thing was same that all the respondents needed better lives. Due to this mentality they used CBM’S.

Before CBM’S the families of respondents were from poor income families. They all belonged to low class families with large family size. They earn money by all means but 25% respondent never left their education, even they did odd jobs to continue their education. 25% earned money by the working in the different political parties. Educated respondents earned money by home tuitions in the upper class families.

According to a British Higher Education Statistics Agency Report, Pakistan accounts for 54 per cent of UK’s (non-EU) international students. In 2009-2012 there were over 9, 815 Pakistani students enrolled in higher education institutes. Furthermore, popular countries such as Canada, North America, several North European countries, including Sweden and Finland are attracting thousands of Pakistani students to their universities. More than 8,458 Pakistani students studied in Australia in 2009-2010, increase of 11.4 % over 2008-2009. Once these men secure their enduring visas, to attain their goal they take the alternate ways. Left they are troubled and mentally distressed women who have no alternates but to either wait due to family commitments or face social challenges if they leave their families behind. Pakistani families need to be cautious about assessing prospective spouses for their dear ones by thorough background check, assess their emotional involvement towards their spouse to-be, getting personal information and assessing their trust worthiness. A time where Pakistan already faces political, economic and social challenges nationally and in the internationally, the country cannot afford individuals to damage its image any further.
Through CBM’S men is not only pampering the lives of acquitted women in Pakistan for their self-vested curiosity abroad but send off a communal disgrace for both these women residing overseas and in Pakistan.

**Recommendations**

- The state should be able to create employment in easy way in government, public or private sector because a man is usually anticipated to have attained some measures of economic steadiness before he married.
- Try to change the social norms, customs and myths regarding marriages. There is a need to raise and facilitate the CBM’S couples in first world countries as well as third world countries.
- Workshops, open seminars should be held for awareness rising in common public.

**Conclusions**

According to Constable (2005) CBM’S do not occur in random geographic topologies but are rather shaped and limited by exciting and gives rise to cultural, social, historical, political and economic factors. The researcher also noticed that by global marriage chains, referring to a phenomenon in which inter-ethnic marriages a cross border are often triggered by a previous wave of within group marriage migration. The people who do CBM’S suppose that the host society provides financial stability. According to F. Michele & L. Lenore (2008) CBM’S between Pakistani men and Japanese women occurred only for job opportunities, economic motivation and culture practice. It was also noticed that Japanese women want to establish their home at UAE rather Pakistan because of the modern lifestyle and less control from their husband’s family and enjoy the social support of some kin members as well. CBM’S create many answerable questions for the social scientists like:

- How to delineate this type of family component?
- Is CBM’S providing the level of gratification to the 3rd world republics folks?
- How gender kindred are being prearranged in CBM’s?
- How do family members interconnect and interact across the border?

The growing number of CBM’S in the European Union creates kin groups of different national origins to a new social unit and creates affiliations and obligations across different states. L.Jutta (2010) describe that partners living in “mixed marriages” have to develop new strategies to cope with the dispersed family network and to organize interaction with family members within and beyond the borders. CBM’S are considered that it is the ticket for immigration of developed countries. The research found out that through CBM’S third world countries gender fulfill their financial needs and enjoying luxuries life but usually they lose their moral attitudes and native family love. It was also
found that the major reason is economic perspective rather other factors. education is also a priority given by the respondents.
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Annex: Tables of the Study Findings

Table 1
Distribution according to reason for leaving home country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason For Leaving Home Country</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economics Stability</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Standard of Living</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics &amp; Education</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political or Criminal Issue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Distribution according to reason for CBM’S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for CBM’S</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economics Stability</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexuality</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Family Formation &amp; Residence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loneliness</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Distribution according to through CBM’S respondents gets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for Through CBM’S Respondents Gets</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Stability</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Satisfaction</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far From Their Loving Native Families</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulfill Their All Desires</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Distribution according to people wants to come back to Pakistan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason For Back To Pakistan</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>93.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5
**Distribution according to education**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matric</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Graduate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6
**Distribution according due to CBM’s which wife suffers more, native or foreigner**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival by CBM’S</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native Wife</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreigner Wife</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himself</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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